STEPTOE & JOHNSON III

ALFORNEYS AT LAW

330060

Samuel M. Sipe Jr. 202 429 6486 ssipe@steptoe.com 1330 Connecticut Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20036 1795 Tel 202 429 3000 Fax 202 429 3902 steptoe com

June 15, 2011

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Ms. Cynthia Brown Chief, Section of Administration Office of Proceedings Surface Transportation Board 395 E Street, SW Washington, DC 20423-0001 JUN 15 2011

Pari of Cubiic Record

Re:

Canexus Chemicals Canada L.P. v. BNSF Railway Company,

STB Docket No. FD 35524

Dear Ms. Brown:

Attached for filing in the above-referenced proceeding is BNSF Railway Company's Request to Refer the Parties' Interchange Dispute to Board Supervised Mediation. BNSF is also filing today under separate cover an Answer to the Request for an Order Compelling Establishment of Common Carrier Rates filed by Canexus Chemicals Canada, and a Response to the Board's Order of June 8, 2011 Regarding BNSF's Legal Position.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully submitted,

Samuel M. Sipe, Jr.

Counsel for BNSF Railway Company

CC: Counsel for Canexus Counsel for UP

BEFORE THE SURFACE TRANSPORTATION BOARD

CANEXUS CHEMICALS	
CANEAUS CHEMICALS	<i>)</i>
CANADA L.P.)
)
Complainant,)
)
v.	Docket No. FD-35524
)
BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY)
)
Defendant.)
	,)

Expedited Consideration Requested

REQUEST OF BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY TO REFER THE PARTIES' INTERCHANGE DISPUTE TO BOARD SUPERVISED MEDIATION

Pursuant to 49 C.F.R. §1109.1, defendant BNSF Railway Company ("BNSF") requests that the Board refer the interchange dispute underlying the complaint of Canexus Chemicals Canada, L.P. ("Canexus") in this matter for resolution through voluntary, Board supervised mediation between Canexus, BNSF, and Union Pacific Railroad Company ("UP"). As explained in BNSF's accompanying Response to the Board's Order of June 8, 2011 Regarding its Legal Position, the key issue raised by Canexus's complaint in this case is whether certain Canexus interline shipments of chlorine should be interchanged at Portland, OR and Spokane, WA, as BNSF prefers or whether they must be interchanged at Kansas City, which Canexus has sought to dictate as the interchange point. The Board has made clear that it should not be involved on a regular basis in resolving interchange disputes. The location of the interchange is a matter that should be resolved in the first instance by the connecting carriers after consultation with the interested shipper. Here, Canexus has sought to determine the outcome of the interchange issue

by entering into a contract with UP that it contends requires BNSF to interchange chlorine shipments, against its will, at Kansas City. The Board should encourage the parties to try to work this matter out.

Under the Board's Alternative Dispute Resolution rules, 49 C.F.R. §1109.1, the parties must voluntarily elect to pursue Board sponsored mediation. To facilitate the prompt resolution of this matter, BNSF requests that the Board instruct Canexus and UP to advise the Board no later than 2:00 p.m. on Friday June 17, 2011, of their willingness to participate in Board supervised mediation. If Canexus and UP agree to mediation, BNSF will promptly extend the termination date of its existing temporary rates for movements of Canexus's chlorine to Kansas City for interchange with UP from June 30, 2011, to July 31, 2011. Assuming that Canexus and UP agree to voluntary mediation, there will be no need for the oral hearing that the Board has tentatively scheduled for the afternoon of June 23, 2011. Even if mediation does not successfully resolve this dispute, BNSF's extension of its temporary rates through the end of July should provide ample time for the Board to hear oral argument and resolve this matter before those rates expire.

BNSF requests that, if the parties agree to mediation, the Board appoint a staff member to supervise the mediation and that an initial mediation session be scheduled at a location convenient to the parties as soon as practicable. BNSF will make appropriate corporate representatives available for such a mediation session.

Respectfully submitted,

Roger P. Nober Richard E. Weicher Jill K. Mulligan Adam Weiskittel BNSF RAILWAY COMPANY 2500 Lou Menk Drive Fort Worth, TX 76131 (817) 352-2353

June 15, 2011

Samuel M. Sipe, Jr.
Anthony J. LaRocca
STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP
1330 Connecticut Ave. N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 429-6486

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on this 15th day of June, 2011, I have served a copy of the foregoing BNSF Railway Company's Request to Refer the Parties' Interchange Dispute to Board Supervised Mediation on the following by hand-delivery to:

Thomas W. Wilcox Edward D. Greenberg Svetlana Lyubchenko GKG Law, PC 1054 31st St NW, Suite 200 Washington DC 20007

And by overnight and email delivery to:

J. Michael Hemmer Louise A. Rinn Union Pacific Railroad Company 1400 Douglas Street Omaha NE 68179

Anthony J. LaRocca